

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 119 OF 2004

Dr. (Mrs.) Nilima Dilip Deshmukh ...Petitioner

Versus

Pramod Kumar Ralhan & Ors ...Respondents

None for the petitioner as well as for the
respondents

.....
CORAM: B. H. MARLAPALLE, J.
DATED: 24TH MARCH, 2005

P. C.:-

. This contempt petition was taken up for hearing on admission on 22.2.2005, none was present and the same is the case when it came up for hearing on admission today. It is alleged that the order passed by the City Civil Court at Mumbai in Notice of Motion No. 2149 of 1996 has been wilfully breached. If that be so, the petitioner has an alternate remedy of filing an application under Order XXXIX Rule 2A of C.P.C. before the Court below. In the instant petition, the petitioner does not disclose the date of cause of action i.e. the date of the alleged willful breach of the injunction. The petition is therefore, rejected with liberty as is available in law.